UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,719	03/29/2004	Michael A. Rothman	42P18654	1421
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 10/06/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			HOANG, DANIEL L	
	1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,719	ROTHMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL L. HOANG	2136
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract and a c	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments, see arguments/remarks, filed 7/29/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 12, and 20 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Reinert et al., US Patent No. 6347375.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/29/08 in regards to claim 27 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Said claim rejection is addressed below.

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-30 are presented.

CLAIM REJ2CTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-16, 20-25, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinert.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 1, 12, 20, Reinert teaches:

A method, comprising:

initializing a virus scanner during a pre-boot phase of a computer system;

[see col. 7, lines 46-59]

scrubbing data read from an input/output (I/O) device of the computer system by the virus scanner using

Page 3

a virus signature database before the data is loaded, wherein the virus signature database is stored in a

place not exposed to the operating system and is updated during the pre-boot phase; and

[see col. 8, lines 20-45, wherein the virus signature file is downloaded and stored in the

computers local memory, away from the hard disk and not exposed to the operating system]]

enacting a platform policy if a virus is detected in the data.

[see col. 8, lines 46-60]

As per claim 2, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising scrubbing contents of a memory device of the computer system

during the pre-boot phase by the virus scanner.

[see col. 8, lines 24-32]

As per claim 3, 13, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising updating the virus signature database with updated virus

signatures.

[see col. 8, lines 33-35]]

As per claim 4, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 3 wherein the virus signature database is updated during the pre-boot phase.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719

Art Unit: 2136

[see col. 7, lines 60-67 and col. 8, lines 1-19, wherein control of the computer is transferred to the

Page 4

remote computer prior to loading of the operating system and the remote computer keeps the

virus signature file up to date]

As per claim 5, 14, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the virus signature database is not exposed to an operating system

executing on the computer system.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 6, 22, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 5 wherein the virus signature database is stored in a firmware-reserved area.

[see rejection of claim 1, wherein the virus signature file is stored in the local memory]

As per claim 9, 15, 24, 28, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the virus scanner is operable during the pre-boot phase, an operating

system (OS) runtime phase, and an after-life phase of the computer system independent of an operating

system of the computer system.

[see col. 7, lines 27-45]

As per claim 10, 16, 25, 29, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the virus scanner scrubs the data without having knowledge of a file

system of the data.

[see col. 8, lines 30-35]

As per claim 11, Reinert teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719

Art Unit: 2136

The method of claim 1, further comprising enacting the platform policy if the virus scanner detects non-

Page 5

normal behavior within the computer system.

[see col. 8, lines 46-60]

As per claim 21, Reinert teaches:

The computer system of claim 20, further comprising a network interface operatively coupled to the

processor, the virus scanner to scrub data read from the network interface using the virus signature

database before the data is loaded in the memory device.

[see col. 8, lines 61-67]

As per claim 23, Reinert teaches:

The system of claim 20 wherein execution of the firmware instructions further perform operations

comprising updating the virus signature database with updated virus signatures downloaded from an

external virus signature repository communicatively coupled to the computer system.

[see col. 8, lines 20-25]

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho, US Patent

No. 7188369.

As per claim 27, Ho teaches:

A computer system, comprising:

a virtual machine monitor (VMM) to support at least one virtual machine (VM), each VM having a

separate operating system;

[see Ho reference, col. 5, lines 25-67]

[see Ho reference, col. 5, lines 62-67, "cross-platform"]

an input/output (I/O) device, the VMM to emulate an I/O controller for the I/O device;

[see Ho reference, col. 1, lines 50-64]

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719 Page 6

Art Unit: 2136

a virus scanner within the VMM to scrub data read from the I/O device before the data is loaded; and

[see Ho reference, col. 8, lines 24-32]

a virus signature database to facilitate identification of a virus by the virus scanner.

[see Ho reference, figure 2, element 201]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-8 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reinert as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ho, US Patent No. 7188369

As per claim 7, 17:

The Reinert reference has been discussed above. Reinert does not disclose:

The method of claim 1 wherein the virus scanner is executing in a virtual machine monitor (VMM) executing on the computer system, the VMM supporting at least one virtual machine (VM) executing on the computer system, wherein the VM executes an operating system that is different from the VMM and the operating systems executed by other VMs.

Ho teaches the above limitations not disclosed by Reinert.

[see col. 5, lines 25-67]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Reinert reference to incorporate executing the virus scanner on a virtual machine monitor as taught by Ho because a VMM can be hosted and run as an application on top of a host operating system. Doing so would allow the virus scanner to execute without loading of the local computer's operating system.

As per claim 8, 18, Reinert teaches:

The method of claim 7 wherein scrubbing data read from the I/O device includes: receiving a request from a requester to read data from the I/O device, the requester in a VM of the at least one VM; loading at least a portion of the requested data into a buffer; scrubbing the at least a portion of the requested data with the virus scanner; returning an error signal to the requester if the virus scanner detects a virus in the at least a portion of the requested data; and forwarding the requested data to the requester if the virus scanner does not detect a virus in the at least a portion of the requested data.

[see figure 3]

Claims 19, 26, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reinert as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Huntington et al., US Patent No. 6907524.

As per claim 19, 26, 30:

The Reinert and Reinert references have been discussed above. Reinert and Reinert are not explicit in teaching:

"The article of manufacture of claim 12 wherein the plurality of instructions to operate in compliance an Extensible Firmware Interface (EFI) specification."

Huntington teaches a firmware substantially in compliance with the Extensible Firmware Interface (EFI) specification (col. 1, lines 51-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods disclosed by Reinert and Reinert to include what is taught by Huntington. One would be motivated to do so in order to provide protection from viruses on computer systems that use an Extensible Firmware Interface (col. 1, lines 6-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,719 Page 8

Art Unit: 2136

POINTS OF CONTACT

*. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136